arXiv:1602.00851v1 [gr-qc] 2 Feb 2016

DPUR/TH/46
February, 2016

Induced Gravity from Curvature Density Preserving Diffeomorphisms

Ichiro Oda [1]

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of the Ryukyus,
Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0213, Japan.

Abstract

We construct not only an induced gravity model with the restricted diffeomorphisms, that is, transverse diffeomorphisms which preserves the curvature density, but also that with the full diffeomorphisms. By solving the equations of motion, it turns out that these models produce Einstein's equations with a certain Newton's constant in addition to the constraint for the curvature density. In the limit of the infinite Newton constant, the models give rise to induced gravity. Moreover, we discuss cosmological solutions on the basis of the gravitational models at hand.

[1]E-mail address: ioda@phys.u-ryukyu.ac.jp

1 Introduction

We have recently watched the revival of a gravitational theory, what is called, *unimodular gravity* [1]-[11]. The original idea of unimodular gravity stems from an observation by Einstein that some equations of general relativity take simpler expressions when they are described in the unimodular coordinates where the determinant of the metric tensor takes -1, that is, $\det g_{\mu\nu} = -1$ [12].

It is considered that unimodular gravity is obtained from general relativity by choosing a gauge condition $\sqrt{-g} = 1$ for diffeomorphisms. The resultant equations of motion are then given by the traceless part of Einstein equations in addition to the unimodular constraint $\sqrt{-g} = 1$. One of the attractive points in unimodular gravity is that the cosmological constant appears as an integration constant which can take any values. This fact has been previously expected to give some insight in the well-known cosmological constant problem. However, it might be difficult to resolve the cosmological constant problem within the framework of unimodular gravity if we think that unimodular gravity is nothing but a gauge-fixed theory of general relativity as mentioned above.

Before describing our gravitational models in detail in the next section, let us begin with unimodular gravity since we find it to be useful to explain our basic idea. Let us start with the conventional Einstein-Hilbert action with the cosmological constant [2]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{GR} &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(R - 2\Lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}R - 2\Lambda'\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant and we have introduced the rescaled cosmological constant $\Lambda' = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\Lambda$. Based on this action, unimodular gravity can be understood as follows: In unimodular gravity, we focus on the second term, i.e., the cosmological constant term, putting $\Lambda = 0$, and try to derive effectively the cosmological constant by taking a gauge condition $\sqrt{-g} = 1$ for one of diffeomorphisms.

In unimodular gravity, therefore, the starting action takes the form

$$S_{UM} = \int d^4x\left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\sqrt{-g}R - \lambda(x)\left(\sqrt{-g} - v_0\right)\right] + S_m, \tag{2}$$

where $\lambda(x)$ is the Lagrange multiplier field enforcing the unimodular constraint $\sqrt{-g} = v_0$ with v_0 being a constant which is introduced for the generality instead of 1, and S_m means the

[2]We follow notation and conventions by Misner et al.'s textbook [13], for instance, the flat Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = diag(-,+,+,+)$, the Riemann curvature tensor $R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\beta} - \partial_\beta\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\alpha} + \Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\alpha}\Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\beta} - \Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\beta}\Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\alpha}$, and the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu} = R^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\alpha\nu}$. The reduced Planck mass is defined as $M_p = \sqrt{\frac{c\hbar}{8\pi G}} = 2.4\times 10^{18}GeV$ where G is the Newton constant. Moreover, as usual, we define the gravitational coupling constant κ in terms of $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G = \frac{1}{M_p^2}$.

matter action. Note that this action is not manifestly invariant under the full diffeomorphisms because of the presence of the term $\lambda(x)v_0$.

Variation of the metric tensor yields the Einstein equations:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^2}G_{\mu\nu} + \lambda(x)g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{3}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R$ is the Einstein tensor and $T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}S_m$ is the energy-momentum tensor of matter. Then, operating ∇^μ on the Einstein equations (3), with the help of the Bianchi identity $\nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and the conservation law $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$, one obtains the equations $\partial_\mu\lambda = 0$, which mean that the Lagrange multiplier field $\lambda(x)$ is a constant, $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0$. As a result, the dynamics of unimodular gravity is classically equivalent to that of general relativity with a constant cosmological constant $\lambda_0\kappa^2$.

Incidentally, sometimes in unimodular gravity, the traceless Einstein equations are regarded as the fundamental equations

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R\right) = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}T, \tag{4}$$

which can be obtained as follows: First, taking the trace of the Einstein equations (3), we have

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2}R + T\right). \tag{5}$$

Next, substituting this expression for the Lagrange multiplier field into the Einstein equations (3), it is easy to show that we can get Eqs. (4).

One of the most attractive aspects in unimodular gravity is that the cosmological constant arises as an arbitrary constant of integration from field equations, so it is not a fixed constant from the biginning even if it has no preferred value at least at the classical level. It would be splendid if quantum effects could pick up a small and positive value among arbitrary values via still unknown dynamical mechanism.

We are now ready to present our idea. A natural question to ask ourselves is if it is possible to pay our attention to the first term in the action (1), i.e., the Einstein-Hilbert term, and attempt to derive effectively the Newton constant as an integration constant from field equations by imposing a new constraint $\sqrt{-g}R = 1$.

Of course, there is a big difference between unimodular gravity and our model. The unimodular constraint $\sqrt{-g} = 1$ is a non-dynamical equation while the new constraint $\sqrt{-g}R = 1$ contains the second derivative of the time variable so it is a dynamical one. In this sense, the constraint $\sqrt{-g}R = 1$ would control the dynamics of the model and provide us with a new perspective on the background geometry.

The structure of this article is the following: In Section 2, we present a simple model which accommodates the curvature density preserving condition, and derive the equations of motion. Moreover, we also construct a gravitational model respecting the full diffeomorphisms. In Section 3, we examine the cosmological implications coming from our model. We conclude in Section 4.

2 Gravitational models with curvature density preserving diffeomorphisms

Let us start with a gravitational model where the Einstein-Hilbert term with the cosmological constant is accompanied by the curvature density preserving constraint $\sqrt{-g}R = \varepsilon_0$: [3]

$$S = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\sqrt{-g}\,(R - 2\Lambda) - \lambda(x)\left(\sqrt{-g}R - \varepsilon_0\right)\right] + S_m, \tag{6}$$

where $\lambda(x)$ is the Lagrange multiplier field enforcing the constraint $\sqrt{-g}R = \varepsilon_0$. Note that this action is not manifestly invariant under the full diffeomorphisms because of the presence of the term $\lambda(x)\varepsilon_0$.

The Einstein equations, which come from variation with respect to the metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu}$, read

$$\left[\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 2\lambda(x)\right] G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa^2} g_{\mu\nu} + 2\left(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\lambda - g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\rho\nabla^\rho\lambda\right) = T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{7}$$

Variation of the Lagrange multiplier field $\lambda(x)$, of course, produces our constraint $\sqrt{-g}R = \varepsilon_0$.

Operating ∇^μ on the Einstein equations (7) leads to

$$-2\nabla^\mu\lambda \cdot G_{\mu\nu} + 2\left(\nabla^2\nabla_\nu\lambda - \nabla_\nu\nabla^2\lambda\right) = 0, \tag{8}$$

where the Bianchi identity and the conservation law of the energy-momentum tensor are used. Then, using the identity $\nabla^2\nabla_\nu\lambda = R_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\mu\lambda + \nabla_\nu\nabla^2\lambda$, Eqs. (8) can be cast to the form

$$R\nabla_\mu\lambda = 0, \tag{9}$$

from which, assuming $R \neq 0$, we have $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0$ where λ_0 is an integration constant as in unimodular gravity.

Inserting $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0$ to the Einstein equations (7), we arrive at the conventional Einstein equations except a modified Newton constant κ' in front of the Einstein tensor which is defined as $\frac{1}{\kappa'^2} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 2\lambda_0$:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa'^2} G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa^2} g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{10}$$

At this stage, it is worthwhile to mention that in the limit of the infinite Newton constant, $\kappa^2 \to \infty$, the gravitational model at hand reduces to an induced gravity [14]-[21]. Actually, in this case, the Einstein-Hilbert plus the cosmological constant terms drop out of the classical

[3] Here for the slight generality, we have introduced a constant ε_0 instead of $\sqrt{-g}R = 1$. Moreover, we have involved the Einstein-Hilbert plus the cosmological term for the generality. In this setting, we can obtain induced gravity theory in the limit of the infinite Newton constant, $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G \to \infty$.

action (6), and if we set $-2\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{\kappa^2}$, Eqs. (10) become the Einstein equations without the cosmological constant

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{11}$$

Namely, in this specific situation, we start with only the matter action without the gravitational action, but our constraint generates the gravitational dynamics in a natural way. The key point here is that the Lagrange multiplier field $\lambda(x)$ takes any constant term as an integration constant, which is also a peculiar feature of unimodular gravity.

In order to understand the constraint $\sqrt{-g}R = \varepsilon_0$, let us recall that general relativity is invariant under diffeomorphisms whose infinitesimal forms are written as $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \nabla_\nu \epsilon_\mu$ where ϵ_μ are the infinitesimal local parameters. Under diffeomorphisms, the constraint $\sqrt{-g}R = \varepsilon_0$ is transformed as

$$\delta\left(\sqrt{-g}R - \varepsilon_0\right) = \sqrt{-g}R\nabla_\mu \epsilon^\mu = \varepsilon_0 \nabla_\mu \epsilon^\mu. \tag{12}$$

Thus, as long as $\varepsilon_0 \neq 0$, the constraint $\sqrt{-g}R = \varepsilon_0$ can be interpreted as a gauge condition for one of diffeomorphisms. To put differently, as in unimodular gravity, this constraint breaks diffeomorphisms down to the transverse diffeomorphisms (TDiff) which are defined as diffeomorphisms satisfying $\nabla_\mu \epsilon^\mu = 0$.

If one regards the above gravitational model as a gauge-fixed version of general relativity, together with the ghost term, the term involving the constraint in the action (6) can be combined into a BRST-exact form, by which the interesting dynamical result makes no sense at least physically. Furthermore, as another unsatisfactory problem, when $R = 0$, Eq. (9) does not give rise to the result such that $\lambda(x)$ is a constant. It would be desirable if we could find a model which holds even in case of $R = 0$ since black holes satisfy $R = 0$.

On the basis of the model constructed so far, however, it is easy to overcome such two problems at the same time as follows: The key idea is to apply the Henneaux-Teitelboim method [4] to our system, that is, the starting action is defined as

$$S = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\sqrt{-g}\left(R - 2\Lambda\right) - \lambda(x)\left(\sqrt{-g}R - \varepsilon_0\sqrt{-g}\nabla_\mu \tau^\mu\right)\right] + S_m, \tag{13}$$

where τ^μ is a vector field. Let us note that this action is manifestly invariant under the full diffeomorphisms.

Taking variation of the metric tensor leads to the Einstein equations:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 2\lambda(x)\right] G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa^2} g_{\mu\nu} + 2\left(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \lambda - g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^2 \lambda\right) - 2\varepsilon_0\left[\tau_{(\mu}\nabla_{\nu)}\lambda - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\tau_\rho \nabla^\rho \lambda\right] = T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{14}$$

where the round bracket indicates the symmetrization of indices of weight $\frac{1}{2}$. Variation of the Lagrange multiplier field $\lambda(x)$, gives us the equation of motion:

$$\sqrt{-g}R = \varepsilon_0\sqrt{-g}\nabla_\mu \tau^\mu = \varepsilon_0 \partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}\tau^\mu\right). \tag{15}$$

Finally, when one regards τ^μ as a fundamental vector field, variation of τ^μ leads to

$$\sqrt{-g}\varepsilon_0\nabla_\mu\lambda = 0, \tag{16}$$

from which, the Lagrange multiplier field takes any constant value, $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0$. Then, substituting $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0$ into Eqs. (14), we have again the standard Einstein equations (10) except the Newton constant. As before, taking the limit of the infinite Newton constant and setting $-2\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{\kappa^2}$ yields to the Einstein equations without the cosmological constant (11).

3 Cosmological solutions

In this section, first of all, we work with the final model with the full diffeomorphisms and consider the cosmological solutions to Eqs. (10) and (15) in the framework of the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe with spacially flat metric since solutions in the other models can be obtained in special cases from this model.

Now, taking the trace of Eqs. (10), one obtains

$$-\frac{1}{\kappa'^2}R + \frac{4\Lambda}{\kappa^2} = T. \tag{17}$$

Next, eliminating Λ in Eqs. (10) via this relation gives us

$$\frac{1}{\kappa'^2}\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R\right) = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}T, \tag{18}$$

which are the traceless Einstein equations with the modified Newton constant. Moreover, Eqs. (15) and (17) determine the vaule of the covariant divergence of the vector τ^μ

$$\nabla_\mu\tau^\mu = \frac{\kappa'^2}{\varepsilon_0}\left(-T + \frac{4\Lambda}{\kappa^2}\right). \tag{19}$$

Since this general model (13) includes an arbitrary vector field τ^μ, it is possible to find various types of cosmological solutions by adjusting the vector field in an appropriate manner.

Next we therefore wish to turn our attention to a more specific model without the vector field. Here we take account of the first gravitational model where the equations of motion are given by the Einstein equations

$$\frac{1}{\kappa'^2}G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa^2}g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{20}$$

and the constraint equation

$$\sqrt{-g}R = \varepsilon_0. \tag{21}$$

The cosmological constant term can be always interpreted as the contribution of vacuum energy to the Einstein equations, so let us henceforth include it in the energy-momentum tensor of matter and set $\Lambda = 0$ in Eqs. (20).

Since the constraint equation (21) includes second derivatives of time, it is a dynamical equation which should be contrasted with the unimodular constraint $\sqrt{-g} = v_0$, which is a non-dynamical one. In other words, our constraint (21) might restrict the whole class of classical equations satisfying the (modified) Einstein equations (20) to be its certain subgroup. Indeed, we will see shortly that only the universe filled with non-relativistic matter ("dust") is allowed as a classical solution.

To do that, recalling that we have set $\Lambda = 0$, let us take the trace of Eqs. (20),

$$R = -\kappa'^2 T. \tag{22}$$

Together this equation with Eq. (21), the trace part of the energy-momentum tensor is described as

$$T = -\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\kappa'^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} = -\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\kappa'^2}a(t)^{-3}, \tag{23}$$

where we have worked with the FRW metric with spacially flat metric ($k = 0$)

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = -dt^2 + a(t)^2(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \tag{24}$$

with $a(t)$ being the scale factor. It is sufficient for our purposes to treat matter as the perfect fluid $T^\mu{}_\nu = diag(-\rho, p, p, p)$ and consider the equation of state $w = \frac{p}{\rho} = const$. Then, the covariant conservation law, $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu 0} = 0$ is solved to be $\rho(t) = \rho_0 a(t)^{-3(1+w)}$ where ρ_0 is an integration constant. Using these facts, the trace part of the energy-momentum tensor takes the form

$$T = -\rho + 3p = \rho_0(-1 + 3w)a(t)^{-3(1+w)}. \tag{25}$$

By comparing this result (25) with Eq. (23), we find that

$$\tag{26}$$

$$w = 0, \quad \rho_0 = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\kappa'^2}. \tag{27}$$

Finally, using Eq. (27), the Einstein equations (20) are solved and the scale factor is completely determined to be

$$\tag{28}$$

$$a(t) = \left(\frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_0\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}(t - t_0)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \tag{29}$$

where t_0 is some constant. This solution describes the decelerating universe filled with non-relativistic matter whose equation of state is $w = 0$.

4 Conclusion

In this article, along the similar line of the argument to unimodular gravity, we have constructed gravitational models which have either the transverse diffeomorphisms or the full diffeomorphisms. In the limit of the infinite Newton constant, these models reduce to those of induced gravity. Moreover, we have investigated classical solutions which satisfy the equations of motion.

One of interesting features in unimodular gravity is that the cosmological constant appears as an integration constant unrelated to any parameters in the action. Usually, this feature has been utilized in order to solve the well-known cosmological constant problem. However, in this article, we have made use of this feature to show that the Newton constant appears as an integration constant from the induced gravity action. In modern times, we are tempted to consider that quantum gravity is not only an emergent phenomenon but also independent of background metric. In such a viewpoint, the present work might shed some light on quantum gravity.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 25400262 from the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

References

[1] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61** (1989) 1.

[2] J. van der Bij, H. van Dam and Y. J. Ng, Physica **116A** (1982) 307.

[3] W. Buchmuller and N. Dragon, Phys. Lett. **B 207** (1988) 292.

[4] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Phys. Lett. **B 222** (1989) 195.

[5] W. Buchmuller and N. Dragon, Phys. Lett. **B 223** (1989) 313.

[6] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. **D 40** (1989) 1048.

[7] Y. J. Ng and H. van Dam, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 1337.

[8] L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. **D 80** (2009) 084003.

[9] G. F. R. Ellis, H. van Elst, J. Murugan and J. -P. Uzan, Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 225007.

[10] A. Padilla and I. D. Saltas, Eur. Phys. J. **C 75** (2015) 561.

[11] I. D. Saltas, Phys. Rev. **D 90** (2014) 124052.

[12] A. Einstein, in "The Principle of Relativity", by A. Einstein et al., Dover Publications, New York, 1952.

[13] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, "Gravitation", W H Freeman and Co (Sd), 1973.

[14] A. D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **12** (1968) 1040.

[15] K. Akama, Y. Chikashige, T. Matsuki and H. Terazawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **60** (1978) 868.

[16] S. L. Adler, Phys. Lett. **B 95** (1980) 241.

[17] A. Zee, Phys. Rev. **D 23** (1981) 858.

[18] D. Amati and G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. **B 204** (1982) 451.

[19] S. L. Adler, Rev. Mod. Phys. **54** (1982) 729.

[20] K. Akama and I. Oda, Phys. Lett. **B 259** (1991) 431.

[21] K. Akama and I. Oda, Nucl. Phys. **B 397** (1993) 727.